## APM462: Homework 4 Due date: Tue, July 12 in class.

## Suggested problems (not to be turned in):

(A) Assume that Q is a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix, with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_2$  $\ldots \leq \lambda_n$  and with an *orthonormal basis* of eigenvectors  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ .

Since  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  is a basis, any vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  can be written in the form

(1) 
$$v = a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_n w_n.$$

(In fact,  $a_i = w_i^T v$  for every i — this follows by multiplying equation (1) by  $w_i^T$  on the left and using the fact that the vectors  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  are orthonormal.)

(a) Show that if  $v = a_1w_1 + \cdots + a_nw_n$  and at least one  $a_i$  is nonzero, then

$$\frac{v^T Q v}{v^T v} = \theta_1 \lambda_1 + \ldots + \theta_n \lambda_n, \qquad \text{where } \theta_i = \frac{a_i^2}{a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2}.$$

(b) Using part a) (if you like), prove that

(2) 
$$\lambda_n = \text{largest eigenvalue of } Q = \max_{v \neq 0} \frac{v^T Q v}{v^T v}.$$

**Hints**: it may be convenient to break this into two parts: first, that  $\frac{v^T Q v}{v^T v} \leq \lambda_n$  for every nonzero vector v, and second, that there is some choice of a nonzero vector v such that  $\frac{v^T Q v}{v^T v} = \lambda_n$ .

**Remark**. By almost the same argument, one can also show that

(3) 
$$\lambda_1 = \text{smallest eigenvalue of } Q = \min_{v \neq 0} \frac{v^T Q v}{v^T v}$$

(B) Consider the iterative process

$$x_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( x_k + \frac{a}{x_k} \right),$$

where a > 0. Assume the process converges:  $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = x_{\infty}$ .

- (a) What is  $x_{\infty}$ ?
- (b) Show that if  $x_0 > \sqrt{a}$  then

$$\sqrt{a} \leq x_{k+1} \leq x_k$$
 for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(c) Show that if  $0 < x_0 < \sqrt{a}$  then

$$0 < x_k \leq x_{k+1} \leq \sqrt{a}$$
 for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(d) What is the order of convergence?

Remark: This is a first year calculus question about sequences using induction.

## The following 3 questions are to be handed in:

- (1) Let  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + xy 3x$ .
  - (a) Find an unconstrained local minimum point  $\mathbf{x}_*$  for f.

**solution**.  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x} \cdot Q\mathbf{x} - b \cdot \mathbf{x}$  where  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, b = (3, 0)^T$ , and  $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)^T$ . We know  $\mathbf{x}_*$  satisfies  $Q\mathbf{x}_* = b$ , so  $\mathbf{x}_* = Q^{-1}b = (2, -1)^T$ . Note that the eigenvalues of Q are 3 and 1.

(b) Why is  $\mathbf{x}_*$  actually a global minimum point?

**solution**. Q is positive definite, so f is strictly convex, so the local minimum is a global minimum.

(c) Using the method of steepest descent, what is the smallest k that will guarantee  $E(\mathbf{x_k}) \leq 10^{-3} E(\mathbf{x_0})$ . Here  $E(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ . Remark: This is a staright forward "plug in" question.

**solution**.  $E(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) = (\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x} \cdot Q\mathbf{x} - b \cdot \mathbf{x}) - (\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_* \cdot Q\mathbf{x}_* - b \cdot \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_*) \cdot Q(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_*) = q(\mathbf{x})$ , where the function  $q(\mathbf{x})$  was defined in leture. So we have  $E(\mathbf{x}_k) \leq r^k E(\mathbf{x}_0)$ , where  $r = \left(\frac{\Lambda - \lambda}{\Lambda + \lambda}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{3-1}{3+1}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4}$ . We want smallest integer k such that  $4^{-k} \leq 10^{-3}$ . Equivalently,  $-k \log 4 \leq -3 \log 10$ , or  $k \geq 3 \frac{\log 10}{\log 4} = 4.98 \dots$  So k = 5.

(2) Assume that Q is a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a nonzero (column) vector, and  $\mu$  is a positive number.

Consider the symmetric matrix  $R = Q + \mu cc^{T}$ .

Let  $\lambda_i(Q)$  denote the *i*th eigenvalue of Q, and similarly and  $\lambda_i(R)$  the *i*th eigenvalue of R, where they are arranged so that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n$ , for both Q and R.

(a) Using formula (2) from exercise (A) above, prove that

$$\lambda_n(R) \ge \mu |c|^2 + \lambda_1(Q).$$

**solution**. By formula (2)

$$\lambda_n(R) = \max_{v \neq 0} \frac{v^T R v}{v^T v} \ge \frac{c^T R c}{c^T c} = \frac{c^T Q c}{c^T c} + \mu \frac{c^T c c^T c}{c^T c}$$

By formula (3),  $\frac{c^T Qc}{c^T c} \geq \lambda_1(Q)$ , and since  $c^T c = |c|^2$ , we deduce from the above that

$$\lambda_n(R) \ge \lambda_1(Q) + \mu \frac{(|c|^2)^2}{|c|^2} = \lambda_1(Q) + \mu |c|^2.$$

(b) Using formula (3) above, prove that if  $n \ge 2$ , then

$$\lambda_1(R) \le \lambda_n(Q).$$

**solution**. If  $n \ge 2$ , then there must be a nonzero vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $w^T c = 0$ . For this vector,  $w^T R w = w^T Q w$ . Thus by formulas (3) and (2) (in that order),

$$\lambda_1(R) \le \frac{w^T R w}{w^T w} = \frac{w^T Q w}{w^T w} \le \lambda_n(Q).$$

(c) Conclude that if Q is positive semidefinite, then the condition number of R satisfies

condition number of 
$$R = \frac{\lambda_n(R)}{\lambda_1(R)} \ge \frac{\mu |c|^2}{\lambda_n(Q)}$$

Thus, the condition number is very large if  $\mu$  is large compared to  $\lambda_n(Q)$ .

solution. If Q is positive semidefinite, then  $\lambda_1(Q) \ge 0$ , and part (a) implies that  $\lambda_n(R) \ge \mu |c|^2$ . So it immediately follows that

condition number of 
$$R = \frac{\lambda_n(R)}{\lambda_1(R)} \ge \frac{\mu |c|^2}{\lambda_n(Q)}.$$

- (3) Suppose that you want to minimize  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T Q \mathbf{x} \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}$ , where Q is *diagonal*, as well as being positive definite and symmetric. Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of Q. Let  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  be the standard basis vectors for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
  - (a) Let  $\mathbf{d}_i = \mathbf{e}_{i+1}$  for i = 0, ..., n-1, and show that  $\{\mathbf{d}_0, ..., \mathbf{d}_{n-1}\}$  form a Q-orthogonal set.

**solution.** Write  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  for the diagonal entries of Q. Then if  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\mathbf{d}_{i}^{T}Q\mathbf{d}_{j} = \mathbf{e_{i+1}}^{T}Q\mathbf{e_{j+1}} = \mathbf{e_{i+1}}^{T}\lambda_{j+1}\mathbf{e_{j+1}} = \lambda_{j+1}\mathbf{e_{i+1}}^{T}\mathbf{e_{j+1}} = 0.$$

(b) Suppose that you try to minimize f using the Conjugate Directions method, with the Q-orthogonal set d<sub>0</sub>,..., d<sub>n-1</sub> found above, starting from a point x<sub>0</sub> = (a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>n</sub>). Find x<sub>k</sub> for every k = 0,..., n - 1. Hint: x<sub>k</sub> = (<sup>b<sub>1</sub></sup>/<sub>λ<sub>1</sub></sub>,..., <sup>b<sub>k</sub></sup>/<sub>λ<sub>k</sub></sub>, a<sub>k+1</sub>,..., a<sub>n</sub>).

**solution**. Let's write  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)^T$ , and as above,  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  for the diagonal entries of Q.

We know that the minimizer is

$$\mathbf{x}^* = Q^{-1}\mathbf{b} = (\frac{b_1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{b_n}{\lambda_n})$$

We will show that

4

(4) 
$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}} = (\frac{b_1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{b_k}{\lambda_k}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n).$$

Thus, each step of the method replaces one component of the starting vector  $\mathbf{x}_0$  with one component of the minimizer  $\mathbf{x}^*$ . We will prove (4) by induction. It is clear when k = 0. Now assume that it holds for  $0, \ldots, k$ . Then we have

$$\mathbf{g}_k = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k) = Q\mathbf{x}_k - b = (0, \dots, 0, \lambda_{k+1}a_{k+1} - b_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n a_n - b_n)$$
  
So

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_{k} - \frac{\mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{d}_{k}}{\mathbf{d}_{k}^{T} Q \mathbf{d}_{k}} \mathbf{d}_{k} = \mathbf{x}_{k} - \frac{\mathbf{g}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{k+1}}{\mathbf{e}_{k+1}^{T} Q \mathbf{e}_{k+1}} \mathbf{e}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_{k} - \frac{\lambda_{k+1} a_{k+1} - b_{k+1}}{\lambda_{k+1}} \mathbf{e}_{k+1}$$

and it is easy to see that the right-hand side is exactly the right-hand side of (4) for k + 1.

(c) Show directly that for every  $k \ge 1$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_k$  minimizes f in the set

$$\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathfrak{B}_k,$$

where  $\mathfrak{B}_k = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{d}_0, \ldots, \mathbf{d}_{k-1}\}$ . **Hint:** One way to do this is to write the restriction of f to  $\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathfrak{B}_k$  as a function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ , where

 $\phi(y_1,\ldots,y_k) = f(\mathbf{x_0} + y_1\mathbf{d}_0 + \ldots + y_k\mathbf{d}_{k-1}),$ 

and find the minimum of  $\phi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , which is an unrestricted minimization problem. Solution: Since  $\mathbf{d}_0$  etc are just the standard basis vectors,

$$\phi(y_1, \dots, y_k) = f(a_1 + y_1, \dots, a_k + y_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \left[ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i (a_i + y_i)^2 - b_i (a_i + y_i) \right] + \sum_{i=k+1}^n \left[ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i a_i^2 - b_i a_i \right].$$

When we minimize this (as a function of  $(y_1, \ldots, y_k)$ ), we find that  $y_i = b_i/\lambda_i - a_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . When we look back at f, this corresponds to the point

$$(\mathbf{x}_0 + y_1 \mathbf{d}_0 + \ldots + y_k \mathbf{d}_{k-1}) = (\frac{b_1}{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \frac{b_k}{\lambda_k}, a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_n),$$

So the minimum point is the one we found in part (b) via the Conjugate Gradient method.